UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,254	12/20/2005	Raymond J.E. Hueting	GB030096US1	5647
65913 NXP, B.V.	7590 06/09/200	9	EXAM	IINER
NXP INTELLE	ECTUAL PROPERTY	KUO, WENSING W		
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY	DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95131	2826		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/562,254	HUETING, RAYMOND J.E.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
W. Wendy Kuo	2826		

	vv. vvendy Kuo	2020	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavir eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Air no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS 2. The proposed amendment/s) filed ofter a final rejection by	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment filed after the proposed amendme	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially rec	aucing of simplifying ti	ie issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			·
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 11-14. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	oplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Minh-Loan T. Tran/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2826	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding Applicant's response with respect to claims 1-10, it is respectfully noted that the examiner's postion remains as addressed in the Response to Arguments section of the the Final Office action mailed 04/03/2009. In the instant case, Applicant argues that Nakagawa fails to teach an "insulated gate trench including a gate conductor insulated from the source and drain implantations and the body region by a gate dielectric along the side and end walls and the base of the trench" because the alleged trench region "does not extend into a source or drain region, or into a source or drain contact region." Applicant further argues that this limitation is recited in the lower portion of claim 1, particularly lines 13-14 thereof (remarks at page 6). However, lines 13-16 of claim 1 recite: "the source and drain implantations extending along part of the sidewalls of the trench, wherein the source and drain implantations include conductive shallow contact regions at the first major surface extending vertically into the body to a depth of no more than 35% of the depth of the trench." For the source and drain region to extend along the sidewalls of the trench does not require that the trench region extend into the source or drain region. Moreover, the lower portion of claim 1 does indeed include the limitation that the source and drain implantations extend vertically into the body, but there is no recitation of the trench region extending into the source or drain region, or into a source or drain contact region.